

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: CCMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/664,332	09/18/2000	Noriya Hayashi	001195	4422
23850 7	590 04/16/2003	. 18 11. 11. 11. 11.	en de la proposición de la companya	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ARMSTRONG,WESTERMAN & HATTORI, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			SELLERS, ROBERT E	
			127120	D. 11111 1711 1770
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•	•	1712	22
			DATE MAILED: 04/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/664,332	HAYASHI, NORIYA				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert Sellers	1712				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity for Reply	ears on the cov r she	t with th correspond nc address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	6(a). In no event, however, ma within the statutory minimum o ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	farch 2003					
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	_					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-10,12,17-19 and 21-28</u> is/are pe						
4a) Of the above claim(s) 9,17-19,21 and 23-26 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6-8,10,12,22,27 and 28</u> is/are reje	cted.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
<u> </u>	priority updor 25 LLC	C \$ 110(a) (d) a+ (5				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<u> </u>	have been received					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The second control of the promise promise have been reconved.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	ty documents have be	een received in this National Stage				
application from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a of the certified copies i	i)). not received.				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language prov 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic 						
Attachment(s)	. , ,					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) e of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/664,332

Art Unit: 1712

The Request for Continued Examination along with the amendment and declaration filed March 3, 2003 (Paper Nos. 19-21) have been received and the following is responsive thereto.

Claims 9, 17-19, 21 and 23-26 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 8.

The text of section 103(a) of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1-3, 6-8, 10, 12, 22, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hamazu et al., Buchwalter et al. Ohnishi, Starkey and Green Patent No. 4,252,592 in view of Green et al. Patent No. 4,299,938.

Green et al. Patent No. 4,299,938 is applied as a secondary reference for the teaching of a polyhydric alcohol as a co-curing agent with an anhydride. Otherwise, the rejection is maintained for the reasons of record set forth in the previous Office actions. The arguments and declaration filed March 3, 2003 have been considered but are unpersuasive.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,332

Art Unit: 1712

The teachings of a reference are not confined merely to the examples.

Buchwalter et al. (col. 7, lines 2-4) discloses an amount of photoinitiator of from about 0.5 to about 10% by weight which is within the limits of the claimed range of from 0.1 to 6.0 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the components other than the photoinitiator.

Example 1 on page 65 of the specification contains a molar ratio of maleic anhydride:3,4-epoxycyclohexylmethyl-3,4-epoxycyclohexane carboxylate of 0.65:1. The weight of maleic anhydride is 98.06 g/mole x 0.65 = 63.7 g. The weight of epoxy resin is 316 g/mole x 1 mole = 316 g. The ratio of maleic anhydride:epoxy resin is 63.7 g:316 g = 0.202:1 or 20.2 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of epoxy resin. However, the claimed concentration range includes molar ratios of as low as 0.1:1 which converts to a weight content of 9.806 g maleic anhydride/316 g 3,4-epoxycyclohexylmethyl-3,4-epoxycyclohexane carboxylate = 0.031 or 3.1 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of epoxy resin. This value is clearly within the teachings of the range set forth in Starkey of from about 0.01 to about 10 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of resin (col. 21, lines 12-16).

Green '592 (col. 2, lines 7-11) establishes a combination of an aromatic sulfonium salt photoinitiator and anyhydride curing agent. It would have been obvious to employ the elected species of benzyl-4-hydroxyphenylsulfonium hexafluoroantimonate of Hamazu et al. (col. 3, lines 29-30) in an amount of from 0.01-20 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the epoxy resin (col. 3, lines 56-61) in order to optimize the cure rate.

Application/Control Number: 09/664,332

Art Unit: 1712

Hamazu et al. explicitly names an acid anhydride in column 5, line 14 which meets the claimed limitation of an acid anhydride.

Ohnishi (col. 4, lines 44 and 47-51) espouses the elected species of photoinitiator present at a level of from about 0.01 to about 10 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the epoxy resin along with a curing agent (col. 5, line 16). It would have been obvious to use the anhydride curing agent of the Green (et al.) patents and Starkey at the calculated quantity of 0.31 mole per mole of epoxy resin in order to enhance the strength (Starkey, col. 21, lines 17-19) and to ensure a complete cure (Green et al. '938, col. 11, lines 61-63).

Examples 1-4 of the declaration address the rejection with respect to Buchwalter et al., Starkey and Green '592. It cannot be determined whether the amount of cationic photo-thermopolymerization initiator has been held constant since the concentration of 1.0 part by weight is only identified for Example 1 regarding the claimed species of Sun Aid SI-80L.

The evidence is not commensurate in scope with the claims concerning a representative sampling of the claimed proportion range of photopolymerization initiator of from 0.1-6.0 parts by weight per 100 parts by weight of the composition without the photopolymerization initiator (only 0.5 parts by weight, or 50% of Sun Aid SI 80L in 1.0 part by weight has been tested in Example 1). Furthermore, experimentation with species representing only claimed formula (IV) does not establish the criticality of the claimed structurally diverse photopolymerization initiators of formulae (IV) and (V).

Application/Control Number: 09/664,332 Page 5

Art Unit: 1712

The claims are open to a wide variety of structurally and chemically diverse kinds of photopolymerizable resin components as indicated by the listing on page 26, lines 6-17 of the specification. The testing of a single type of epoxy resin does not confer patentability on the other species within the realm of the claimed "photopolymerizable resin component."

The declaration is not germane to the rejection with respect to Hamazu et al. (cols. 13-14, Table 6, Example 26) and Ohnishi (col. 9, Example 1) which shows the blend of an epoxy resin and the claimed species of photoinitiator. The issue of patentability regarding these references is the additional presence of the acid anhydride (Hamazu et al., col. 5, line 14) which is disclosed but not exemplified when considered in combination with the Green (et al.) patents and Starkey.

The enclosed Form PTO-892 cites the prior art presented in the Information Discosure Statement filed September 13, 2002 (Paper No. 14) wherein a Form PTO-1449 was not received.

(703) 308-2399 (Fax no. (703) 872-9310) Monday to Friday from 9:30 to 6:00 EST

> Robert Sellers Primary Examiner Art Unit 1712